## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

	United States of America
	v. ) Case No. 4:11CR3067  MARK A. SKODA, ) Defendant )
	DETENTION ORDER PENDING TRIAL
	After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts nat the defendant be detained pending trial.
	Part I—Findings of Fact
$\Box$ (1) T	he defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted
o	of $\Box$ a federal offense $\Box$ a state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal
	jurisdiction had existed - that is
	□ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) for which the prison term is 10 years or more.
	$\Box$ an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.
	□ an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in
	* .
	□ a felony committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses:
	□ any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves:
	□ a minor victim
	☐ the possession or use of a firearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon
	□ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250
□ (2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state release or local offense.
□ (3)	A period of less than five years has elapsed since the □ date of conviction □ the defendant's release
	from prison for the offense described in finding (1).
□ (4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another person or the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.

## Alternative Findings (A)

	8 ( )
□ (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense
	$\square$ for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in .
	□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).

 $\Box$  (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the safety of the community.

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

	Alter	rnative Findings (B)
$\Box$ (1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant	will not appear.
X (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant	will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
		ent of the Reasons for Detention omitted at the detention hearing establishes by X clear and
convinci	ing evidence □ a preponderance of the ev	vidence that
	ant poses a risk of harm to the public if release fendant's motion after he obtains a drug trea	ed. Detention hearing waived at this time and will be reviewed tment evaluation.
	Part III—Dir	ections Regarding Detention
in a corr pending order of	rections facility separate, to the extent practic gappeal. The defendant must be afforded a re	If the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement cable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody easonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On ey for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility hal for a court appearance.
Date:	July 28, 2011	s/Cheryl R. Zwart
		United States Magistrate Judge